



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 09/987,707 | 11/15/2001 | Alan J. Lipton | 37112-175340 | 7303 |
| 26694 | 7590 | 03/13/2008 | | |
| VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998 | | | EXAMINER VO, TUNG T | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2621 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 03/13/2008 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/987,707

Applicant(s)

LIPTON ET AL.

Examiner

Tung Vo

Art Unit

2621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tung Vo.(3) Micheal A. Satori.(2) Alan J. Lipton.(4) Mehrdad Satouri.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Madrane (US 6,573,907) and Shio et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Based upon the interview dated 01/16/08 and the telephone interviews with Micheal Satori dated 02/15/08, 02/20/08, and 02/21/08, the arguments were persuasive. Therefore, the rejection dated 01/03/08 is withdrawn. The new Office Action will be forwarded to the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tung Vo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required